UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,312	08/10/2005	Todd A. Loeffelholz	02316.1662USWO	6009
23552 7590 06/30/2009 MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903		NGO, HUNG V		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/505,312	LOEFFELHOLZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hung V. Ngo	2831		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under B	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 24,41 and 45-67 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 24 and 45-65 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 41,66 and 67 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation in the Explanation is objected to be a property in the Explanation in the Explanation in the Explanation in the E	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the at least one guide surface must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show receptacle 14 (Fig 4)(page 5, line 30) as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Art Unit: 2831

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 66 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Niepmann et al (US 6,327,155).

Re claim 66, Niepmann et al disclose a housing (18) having a wall (26); a receptacle (30) that extends through the wall of the housing; radio frequency circuitry (20) positioned within the housing; a plug (col. 5, lines 1-10) is received within the receptacle, the plug being electrically connected to the radio frequency circuitry when the plug is inserted within the receptacle; and the receptacle including at least one guide surface for channeling the plug into the plug connector when the plug is inserted into the receptacle (Fig 2) (col. 5, lines 1-10), the at least one guide surface being configured such that misalignment of

the plug relative to the plug connector is not possible during the insertion process (Fig 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niepmann et al (US 6,327,155) in view of Duncan et al (US 6,459,517) and Buckmaster (US 6,045,232).

Re claims 41, 67, Niepmann et al disclose a module for containing a circuit, the module comprising: a housing (18) having a front wall (26) and a rear wall (Fig 2), the front wall including a conductive material (electromagnetic interference shielding material)(col. 5, lines 1-7); rear connectors mounted at the rear wall (Fig 3); at least one receptacle (30) defined through the front wall for receiving a circuit component,

The teaching as discussed above does not disclose the receptacle being configured to function as an RF choke (re claim 41), a non-metallic cover for covering the receptacle, wherein the cover has a transparent plastic construction (re claim 41) selecting a depth of the receptacle such that the receptacle chokes RF emissions generated by the radio frequency circuitry to a desired level, the depth being selected based on a frequency of the RF emissions and a size of the receptacle.

Duncan et al teach the use of at least one receptacle (10, 26, 30) which is functioned as an RF choke and selecting a depth of the receptacle such that the receptacle chokes RF emissions generated by the radio frequency circuitry to a desired level, the depth being selected based on a frequency of the RF emissions and a size of the receptacle (col. 8, lines 43-67)(Fig 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the receptacle of Niepmann by employing the RF choke feature with the receptacle of Niepmann et al for the purpose of reducing electromagnetic interference emission from the receptacle.

Buckmaster teaches the use of transparent cover (42) for allowing light to pass through. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the transparent cover with the receptacle of Niepmann for the purpose of allowing light to pass through.

As to the cover made of plastic, non metallic, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of the modified Niepmann et al by employing specific material such as plastic for intended use, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41, 66, 67 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24, 45-65 allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hung V Ngo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2831 Application/Control Number: 10/505,312

Page 7

Art Unit: 2831